# Chen simple modules and Prüfer modules over Leavitt path algebras

Gene Abrams

(joint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics May 2018

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Gene Abrams

# Overview

- Brief review of Leavitt path algebras
- Chen simple modules
- $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(S,T)$  for varous simple  $L_{K}(E)$ -modules S, T

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

- Prüfer modules
- Injective modules over  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$

Gene Abrams

Throughout, K is a field.

Gene Abrams

(joint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

Throughout, K is a field.

Let 
$$E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$$
 be a directed graph.  $\bullet^{s(e)} \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^{r(e)}$ 

Gene Abrams

ioint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo

Throughout, K is a field.

Let  $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$  be a directed graph.  $\bullet^{s(e)} \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^{r(e)}$ The <u>extended graph of E</u> is the graph  $\hat{E} = (E^0, E^1 \cup (E^1)^*, s', r')$ , with

$$(E^1)^* = \{e^* \mid e \in E^1\},$$
  
 $r'_{|_{E^1}} = r, s'_{|_{E^1}} = s, r'(e^*) = s(e), s'(e^*) = r(e).$ 

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ 글 < 의 Q ()</li>
 (ioint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Throughout, K is a field.

Let  $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$  be a directed graph.  $\bullet^{s(e)} \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^{r(e)}$ The <u>extended graph of E</u> is the graph  $\hat{E} = (E^0, E^1 \cup (E^1)^*, s', r')$ , with

$$(E^1)^* = \{e^* \mid e \in E^1\},$$
  
 $r'_{|_{E^1}} = r, s'_{|_{E^1}} = s, r'(e^*) = s(e), s'(e^*) = r(e).$ 

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう ほ

<u>The Leavitt path algebra</u>  $L_K(E)$  of E over K is the K-path algebra  $K\hat{E}$  modulo the relations:

Gene Abrams

Throughout, K is a field.

Let  $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$  be a directed graph.  $\bullet^{s(e)} \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^{r(e)}$ The <u>extended graph of E</u> is the graph  $\hat{E} = (E^0, E^1 \cup (E^1)^*, s', r')$ , with

$$(E^1)^* = \{e^* \mid e \in E^1\},$$
  
 $r'_{|_{E^1}} = r, s'_{|_{E^1}} = s, r'(e^*) = s(e), s'(e^*) = r(e).$ 

<u>The Leavitt path algebra</u>  $L_K(E)$  of E over K is the K-path algebra  $K\hat{E}$  modulo the relations:

• 
$$e^*e' = \delta_{e,e'}r(e)$$
 for any  $e, e' \in E^1$ 

Gene Abrams

ionolo work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう ほ

Throughout, K is a field.

Let  $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$  be a directed graph.  $\bullet^{s(e)} \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^{r(e)}$ The <u>extended graph of E</u> is the graph  $\hat{E} = (E^0, E^1 \cup (E^1)^*, s', r')$ , with

$$(E^1)^* = \{e^* \mid e \in E^1\},$$
  
 $r'_{|_{E^1}} = r, s'_{|_{E^1}} = s, r'(e^*) = s(e), s'(e^*) = r(e).$ 

<u>The Leavitt path algebra</u>  $L_K(E)$  of E over K is the K-path algebra  $K\hat{E}$  modulo the relations:

• 
$$e^*e' = \delta_{e,e'}r(e)$$
 for any  $e, e' \in E^1$   
•  $v = \sum_{\{e \in E^1 | s(e) = v\}} ee^*$  (for any  $v \in E^0$  with  $0 < |s^{-1}(v)| < \infty$ .)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 うの()

• A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in *E* is *closed* if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .

Gene Abrams

◆□ ▶ < @ ▶ < 분 ▶ < 분 ▶ 분 · 의 Q (~</li>
 Control of the second A. Tonolo (

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in E is closed if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path  $\sigma$  is *basic* if  $\sigma \neq \beta^m$  for any closed path  $\beta$  and integer  $m \ge 2$ .

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in *E* is closed if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path  $\sigma$  is *basic* if  $\sigma \neq \beta^m$  for any closed path  $\beta$  and integer  $m \ge 2$ .
- If  $\alpha \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *real path*.

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in E is closed if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path  $\sigma$  is *basic* if  $\sigma \neq \beta^m$  for any closed path  $\beta$  and integer  $m \ge 2$ .
- If  $\alpha \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *real path*.
- If  $\beta = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\beta^* = e_n^* \cdots e_2^* e_1^* \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *ghost path*.

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in *E* is *closed* if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path σ is *basic* if σ ≠ β<sup>m</sup> for any closed path β and integer m ≥ 2.
- If  $\alpha \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *real path*.
- If  $\beta = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \text{Path}(E)$ , the element  $\beta^* = e_n^* \cdots e_2^* e_1^* \in L_K(E)$  is called a *ghost path*.
- Let *M* be a left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module and  $m \in M$ . Denote by

$$\hat{\rho}_m: L_K(E) \to M, \quad r \mapsto rm.$$

For a vertex  $v \in E^0$ , denote by

$$\rho_m: L_K(E) v \to M, \quad x \mapsto xm.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 うの()

Gene Abrams

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in E is closed if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path  $\sigma$  is *basic* if  $\sigma \neq \beta^m$  for any closed path  $\beta$  and integer  $m \ge 2$ .
- If  $\alpha \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *real path*.
- If  $\beta = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \text{Path}(E)$ , the element  $\beta^* = e_n^* \cdots e_2^* e_1^* \in L_K(E)$  is called a *ghost path*.
- Let *M* be a left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module and  $m \in M$ . Denote by

 $\hat{\rho}_m: L_K(E) \to M, \quad r \mapsto rm.$ 

For a vertex  $v \in E^0$ , denote by

$$\rho_m: L_K(E) v \to M, \quad x \mapsto xm.$$

Note: Every  $x \in L_{K}(E)$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}^{*}$ , where  $0 \neq k_{i} \in K$  and  $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \text{Path}(E)$ .

Gene Abrams

- A path  $\sigma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$  in E is closed if  $r(e_n) = s(e_1)$ .
- A closed path  $\sigma$  is *basic* if  $\sigma \neq \beta^m$  for any closed path  $\beta$  and integer  $m \ge 2$ .
- If  $\alpha \in Path(E)$ , the element  $\alpha \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is called a *real path*.
- If  $\beta = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \text{Path}(E)$ , the element  $\beta^* = e_n^* \cdots e_2^* e_1^* \in L_K(E)$  is called a *ghost path*.
- Let *M* be a left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module and  $m \in M$ . Denote by

 $\hat{\rho}_m: L_K(E) \to M, \quad r \mapsto rm.$ 

For a vertex  $v \in E^0$ , denote by

$$\rho_m: L_K(E) v \to M, \quad x \mapsto xm.$$

Note: Every  $x \in L_{K}(E)$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}^{*}$ , where  $0 \neq k_{i} \in K$  and  $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \text{Path}(E)$ .

Gene Abrams

• An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

• An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ .

- An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ . Note: If v is a sink, we also view  $v = vvv\cdots$  as an infinite path.
- $E^{\infty}$  denotes the set of infinite paths in *E*.

- An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ . Note: If v is a sink, we also view  $v = vvv\cdots$  as an infinite path.
- $E^{\infty}$  denotes the set of infinite paths in *E*.
- Let c be a closed path in E. Denote  $ccc \cdots$  by  $c^{\infty}$ .

- An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ . Note: If v is a sink, we also view  $v = vvv\cdots$  as an infinite path.
- $E^{\infty}$  denotes the set of infinite paths in *E*.
- Let c be a closed path in E. Denote  $ccc \cdots$  by  $c^{\infty}$ .
- If  $p = e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots \in E^{\infty}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\tau_{>n}(p)$  the infinite path  $e_{n+1} e_{n+2} \cdots$ .

- An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ . Note: If v is a sink, we also view  $v = vvv\cdots$  as an infinite path.
- $E^{\infty}$  denotes the set of infinite paths in *E*.
- Let c be a closed path in E. Denote  $ccc \cdots$  by  $c^{\infty}$ .
- If  $p = e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots \in E^{\infty}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\tau_{>n}(p)$  the infinite path  $e_{n+1} e_{n+2} \cdots$ .
- If p, q ∈ E<sup>∞</sup>, p and q are tail equivalent (p ∼ q) if there exist integers m, n for which τ<sub>>m</sub>(p) = τ<sub>>n</sub>(q)

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

- An *infinite path in* E is a sequence  $p = e_1e_2e_3\cdots$ , where  $e_i \in E^1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for which  $s(e_{i+1}) = r(e_i)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Warning: An infinite path is not an element of  $L_K(E)$ . Note: If v is a sink, we also view  $v = vvv\cdots$  as an infinite path.
- $E^{\infty}$  denotes the set of infinite paths in *E*.
- Let c be a closed path in E. Denote  $ccc \cdots$  by  $c^{\infty}$ .
- If  $p = e_1 e_2 e_3 \cdots \in E^{\infty}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $\tau_{>n}(p)$  the infinite path  $e_{n+1} e_{n+2} \cdots$ .
- If p, q ∈ E<sup>∞</sup>, p and q are tail equivalent (p ∼ q) if there exist integers m, n for which τ<sub>>m</sub>(p) = τ<sub>>n</sub>(q)
- $p \in E^{\infty}$  is rational if  $p \sim c^{\infty}$  for some closed path  $c. p \in E^{\infty}$  is *irrational* if it is not rational.

Gene Abrams

Let  $R_2$  denote the graph

$$e \bigcirc \bullet^{V} \bigcirc f$$
.

Any path of the form  $ef^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is a basic closed path in  $\operatorname{Path}(R_2)$ .

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

Let  $R_2$  denote the graph

$$e \bigcirc \bullet^{V} \bigcirc f$$

- Any path of the form  $ef^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is a basic closed path in  $\operatorname{Path}(R_2)$ .
- For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $c_i = (ef^i)^\infty$  is a rational infinite path. Note that  $c_i \sim c_j$  if and only if i = j.

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日)

Let  $R_2$  denote the graph

$$e \bigcirc \bullet^{v} \bigcirc f$$

- Any path of the form  $ef^i$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is a basic closed path in  $\operatorname{Path}(R_2)$ .
- For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $c_i = (ef^i)^{\infty}$  is a rational infinite path. Note that  $c_i \sim c_j$  if and only if i = j.
- $q = efeffefffefffe \cdots$  is an irrational infinite path in  $R_2^{\infty}$ .

Gene Abrams

joint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonol

Let  $p \in E^{\infty}$ . Let  $V_{[p]}$  denote the *K*-vector space with basis the distinct elements of  $E^{\infty}$  which are tail-equivalent to *p*.

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 클▶ < 클▶ < 클 > 의익@
 ✓□▶ < 클▷ < 플▶ < 클 > 의익@

#### Chen simple modules

Let  $p \in E^{\infty}$ . Let  $V_{[p]}$  denote the *K*-vector space with basis the distinct elements of  $E^{\infty}$  which are tail-equivalent to *p*. For any  $v \in E^0$ ,  $e \in E^1$ , and  $q = f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots$  with  $q \sim p$ , define

$$v \cdot q = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } v = s(f_1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} e \cdot q = \begin{cases} eq & \text{if } r(e) = s(f_1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} e^* \cdot q = \begin{cases} \tau_{>1}(q) & \text{if } e = f_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

The K-linear extension of this action endows  $V_{[p]}$  with the structure of a left  $L_K(E)$ -module.

Gene Abrams

#### Chen simple modules

Theorem: Let  $p \in E^{\infty}$ . Them the left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module  $V_{[p]}$  is simple. If  $p, q \in E^{\infty}$ , then  $V_{[p]} \cong V_{[q]}$  as left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -modules if and only if  $p \sim q$ , if and only if  $V_{[p]} = V_{[q]}$ .

**Idea**: A linear combination of distinct paths tail equivalent to p can be reduced to a single nonzero term by appropriate multiplication. Then any path tail equivalent to p can be generated from this single term via the module action.

X.W. Chen, "Irreducible representations of Leavitt path algebras", Forum Math. **27**(1), 2015, 549–574.

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう ほ

## Chen simple modules

Note: Let  $w \in E^0$  be a sink. We consider  $w = w^{\infty}$  as an element in  $E^{\infty}$ . The Chen simple module  $V_{[w^{\infty}]}$  coincides with the ideal  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$ .

Consider the graph  $R_2$ 

$$e \bigcirc \bullet^{v} \bigcirc f$$

•  $V_{[e^{\infty}]}$ ,  $V_{[f^{\infty}]}$ ,  $V_{[e^{f^{i^{\infty}}}]}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  are Chen simple modules generated by a rational infinite path.

Gene Abrams

Consider the graph  $R_2$ 



•  $V_{[e^{\infty}]}$ ,  $V_{[f^{\infty}]}$ ,  $V_{[e^{f^{i^{\infty}}}]}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  are Chen simple modules generated by a rational infinite path.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

• For  $q = efeffefffefffe \cdots$ ,  $V_{[q]}$  is a Chen simple module generated by an irrational infinite path.

Reminder: For a left R-module M, a projective resolution of M is an exact sequence

$$\cdots P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $P_i$  is a projective left *R*-module.

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ 글 < 의 Q ()</li>
 (ioint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Aim: To construct a projective resolution of any Chen simple module  $V_{[p]}$ . We have three cases:

Gene Abrams

<□> <□> <□> <□> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧ > < ≧ < つへの

Aim: To construct a projective resolution of any Chen simple module  $V_{[p]}$ . We have three cases:

1  $V_{[w^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$  where w is a sink,

Gene Abrams

<□> <回> <回> <置> < 置> < 置> < 置 > こののの (foint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Aim: To construct a projective resolution of any Chen simple module  $V_{[p]}$ . We have three cases:

1 
$$V_{[w^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$$
 where w is a sink,

2  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$  where c is a basic closed path;

Aim: To construct a projective resolution of any Chen simple module  $V_{[p]}$ . We have three cases:

1 
$$V_{[w^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$$
 where w is a sink,

2 
$$V_{[c^{\infty}]}$$
 where c is a basic closed path;

3  $V_{[q]}$  where q is an irrational infinite path.
# Projective resolutions of Chen simple modules

Aim: To construct a projective resolution of any Chen simple module  $V_{[p]}$ . We have three cases:

1 
$$V_{[w^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$$
 where w is a sink,

2 
$$V_{[c^{\infty}]}$$
 where c is a basic closed path;

3  $V_{[q]}$  where q is an irrational infinite path.

**Remark**: Type (1) is trivial, since w is an idempotent and so the left ideal  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)w$  is a projective left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module. Type (3) is interesting, but we won't need it in the rest of the lecture, so discussion omitted.

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

Type (2)

Theorem: Let c be a basic closed path in E, with v = s(c). **1** A projective resolution of  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c-v}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c^{\infty}}} V_{[c^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 볼▶ ▲볼▶ 볼 · 원 Q @
 (foint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Type (2)

Theorem: Let c be a basic closed path in E, with v = s(c). 1 A projective resolution of  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c-v}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c^{\infty}}} V_{[c^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

 If E is a finite graph, an alternate projective resolution of V<sub>[c<sup>∞</sup>]</sub> is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{c-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{c^{\infty}}} V_{[c^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

Gene Abrams

◆□ → <回 → < 注 → < 注 → 注 の Q (ioint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo)</p>

Type (2)

Theorem: Let c be a basic closed path in E, with v = s(c). 1 A projective resolution of  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$  is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c-v}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{c^{\infty}}} V_{[c^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

 If E is a finite graph, an alternate projective resolution of V<sub>[c<sup>∞</sup>]</sub> is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{c-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{c^{\infty}}} V_{[c^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

500

In particular, the Chen simple module  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$  is finitely presented.

Gene Abrams

# Example

Consider the Toeplitz graph

$$e \bigoplus \bullet^{V} \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} W$$
.

and the Chen simple module  $V_{[e^{\infty}]}$ . Then

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{e^{-v}}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) v \xrightarrow{\rho_{e^{\infty}}} V_{[e^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{e-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{e^{\infty}}} V_{[e^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0$$

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

are projective resolutions of the finitely presented module  $V_{[e^{\infty}]}$ .

Gene Abrams

# Proof

Main points of the proof:

• Since  $(c-v)c^{\infty} = c^{\infty} - c^{\infty}$ , we get  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-v) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}})$ .

# Proof

Main points of the proof:

- Since  $(c-v)c^{\infty} = c^{\infty} c^{\infty}$ , we get  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-v) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}})$ .
- The inclusion  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}}) \subseteq L_{\kappa}(E)(c-v)$  follows analyzing the shape of the standard form monomials in  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}})$

# Proof

Main points of the proof:

- Since  $(c-v)c^{\infty} = c^{\infty} c^{\infty}$ , we get  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-v) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}})$ .
- The inclusion  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}}) \subseteq L_{\kappa}(E)(c-v)$  follows analyzing the shape of the standard form monomials in  $\operatorname{Ker}(\rho_{c^{\infty}})$
- By a degree argument, we get r(c − v) = 0 if and only if r = 0. So the map ρ<sub>c−v</sub> : L<sub>K</sub>(E)v → L<sub>K</sub>(E)v is a monomorphism of left L<sub>K</sub>(E)-modules.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

#### Remarks:

 the abelian group Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>L<sub>K</sub>(E)</sub>(S, T) has a natural structure of K-vector space

#### Remarks:

- the abelian group Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>L<sub>K</sub>(E)</sub>(S, T) has a natural structure of K-vector space
- Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>L<sub>K</sub>(E)</sub>(S, T) ≠ 0 if and only if there exists a non-splitting short exact sequence 0 → T → N → S → 0

#### Remarks:

- the abelian group Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>L<sub>K</sub>(E)</sub>(S, T) has a natural structure of K-vector space
- Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>L<sub>K</sub>(E)</sub>(S, T) ≠ 0 if and only if there exists a non-splitting short exact sequence 0 → T → N → S → 0

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

If w is a sink, then  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[w^{\infty}]}, M) = 0$  for any M.

Gene Abrams

Let T be a Chen simple module. Let  $U(T) := \{v \in E^0 \mid vT \neq \{0\}\}.$ 

Gene Abrams

◆□ → <回 → < 注 → < 注 → 注 の Q (?) (joint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Let T be a Chen simple module. Let  $U(T) := \{v \in E^0 \mid vT \neq \{0\}\}.$ 

Assume  $T = V_{[q]}$ , for  $q \in E^{\infty}$ . Then  $v \in U(T)$  if and only if there is an infinite path tail-equivalent to q starting from v.

Let T be a Chen simple module. Let  $U(T) := \{v \in E^0 \mid vT \neq \{0\}\}.$ 

Assume  $T = V_{[q]}$ , for  $q \in E^{\infty}$ . Then  $v \in U(T)$  if and only if there is an infinite path tail-equivalent to q starting from v.

Theorem: (A-, Mantese, Tonolo, 2015) Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Then the following are equivalent:

1 
$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \neq 0.$$

Let T be a Chen simple module. Let  $U(T) := \{v \in E^0 \mid vT \neq \{0\}\}.$ 

Assume  $T = V_{[q]}$ , for  $q \in E^{\infty}$ . Then  $v \in U(T)$  if and only if there is an infinite path tail-equivalent to q starting from v.

Theorem: (A-, Mantese, Tonolo, 2015) Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Then the following are equivalent:

1 Ext<sup>1</sup><sub>$$L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$$</sub> $(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \neq 0.$   
2  $s(d) \in U(T).$ 

Gene Abrams

Let T be a Chen simple module. Let  $U(T) := \{v \in E^0 \mid vT \neq \{0\}\}.$ 

Assume  $T = V_{[q]}$ , for  $q \in E^{\infty}$ . Then  $v \in U(T)$  if and only if there is an infinite path tail-equivalent to q starting from v.

Theorem: (A-, Mantese, Tonolo, 2015) Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Then the following are equivalent:

1 
$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \neq 0.$$
  
2  $s(d) \in U(T).$ 

Corollary: Let *E* be a finite graph. Let *d* be a basic closed path. Then  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{K}(E)}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[d^{\infty}]}) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$  is neither projective, nor injective.

Gene Abrams

# Example

Consider the graph  $R_2$ :

Let  $q \in R_2^{\infty}$  and let  $T = V_{[q]}$ . Let d be a basic closed path in  $R_2$ . Since  $v = s(d) \in U(T) = \{v\}$ , the previous theorem applies and hence  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{L_K(R_2)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \neq 0$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Chen simple modules and Prüfer modules over Leavitt path algebras

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting standard long exact sequence}$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d_{*}^{\infty}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T)$$

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting standard long exact sequence}$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)*}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) (=0) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Gene Abrams

<□> <回> <回> <置> < 置> < 置> < 置 > 見 の Q (\*)

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting standard long exact sequence}$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d_{*}^{\infty}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},T) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T) (=0) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

So for  $t \in T$ ,

$$\pi(\hat{
ho}_t) = \mathsf{0} \Leftrightarrow$$

Gene Abrams

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting}$ standard long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},T) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E),T) \xrightarrow{(=0)} \cdots$$

So for  $t \in T$ ,

$$\pi(\hat{\rho}_t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)*}(f) = \hat{\rho}_t \text{ for some } f = \hat{\rho}_X \in \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E), T) \Leftrightarrow$$

Gene Abrams

<**□ > <回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王 の Q ()** (joint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting}$ standard long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) (=0) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

So for  $t \in T$ ,

 $\pi(\hat{\rho}_t) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow$ 

 $\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_*}(f) = \hat{\rho}_t$  for some  $f = \hat{\rho}_X \in \operatorname{Hom}_{L_K(E)}(L_K(E), T) \Leftrightarrow$ the equation (d-1)X = t has a solution in T.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Gene Abrams

Let E be a finite graph. Let d be a basic closed path in E and let T be a Chen simple module. Consider the projective resolution

 $0 \longrightarrow L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d-1}} L_{K}(E) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}}} V_{[d^{\infty}]} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ and the resulting}$ standard long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{d^{\infty}_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_{*}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(L_{K}(E), T) (=0) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

So for  $t \in T$ ,

 $\pi(\hat{\rho}_t) = \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow$ 

 $\hat{\rho}_{(d-1)_*}(f) = \hat{\rho}_t$  for some  $f = \hat{\rho}_X \in \operatorname{Hom}_{L_K(E)}(L_K(E), T) \Leftrightarrow$ the equation (d-1)X = t has a solution in T.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● ● ●

Gene Abrams

So we get:

Proposition:  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{K}(E)}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) = 0$  if and only if (d-1)X = t has a solution in T for every  $t \in T$ .

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 클▶ < 클▶ < 클 > 의익@
 ✓□▶ < 클▷ < 플▶ < 클 > 의익@

So we get:

Proposition:  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) = 0$  if and only if (d-1)X = t has a solution in T for every  $t \in T$ .

But then it's not hard to show:

#### Lemma:

1) Let  $T = V_{[q]}$ , with  $V_{[q]} \neq V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ . Suppose  $s(d) \in U(T)$ . Let  $t \in T$  be "not divisible" by d. Then the equation (d-1)X = t has no solution in T

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

So we get:

Proposition:  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) = 0$  if and only if (d-1)X = t has a solution in T for every  $t \in T$ .

But then it's not hard to show:

#### Lemma:

1) Let  $T = V_{[q]}$ , with  $V_{[q]} \neq V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ . Suppose  $s(d) \in U(T)$ . Let  $t \in T$  be "not divisible" by d. Then the equation (d-1)X = t has no solution in T

2) The equation  $(d-1)X = d^{\infty}$  has no solution in  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ .

Gene Abrams

So we get:

Proposition:  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, T) = 0$  if and only if (d-1)X = t has a solution in T for every  $t \in T$ .

But then it's not hard to show:

#### Lemma:

1) Let  $T = V_{[q]}$ , with  $V_{[q]} \neq V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ . Suppose  $s(d) \in U(T)$ . Let  $t \in T$  be "not divisible" by d. Then the equation (d-1)X = t has no solution in T

2) The equation  $(d-1)X = d^{\infty}$  has no solution in  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ .

Gene Abrams

In particular, we have

Corollary: For *d* a cycle in *E*, the left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$  is (simple and) not injective.

In particular, we have

Corollary: For *d* a cycle in *E*, the left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$  is (simple and) not injective.

**Question**: What is the injective hull of  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ ?

Gene Abrams

<□> <回> <回> <置> < 置> < 置> < 置 > 見 の Q (\*)

In particular, we have

Corollary: For *d* a cycle in *E*, the left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$  is (simple and) not injective.

**Question**: What is the injective hull of  $V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ ?

Recall:  $\hat{\rho}_{d-1} : L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \to L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is a monomorphism. (In other words, d-1 is not a right zero-divisor in  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ .) Moreover,

$$V_{[d^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(d-1).$$

Gene Abrams

We look at the standard Prüfer abelian groups for guidance.

p denotes a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

The embedding is  $a + p^i \mathbb{Z} \mapsto pa + p^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}$ The Prüfer *p*-group is

$$\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}) = igcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z}$$

Another point of view:  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}) = \{\frac{a}{p^i} | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , with addition mod  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Gene Abrams

Well-known properties of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ :

1)  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module: for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  the equation zX = t has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Well-known properties of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ :

1)  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module: for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  the equation zX = t has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

2) The only proper subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  are the  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$   $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  has d.c.c., but not a.c.c., on submodules.

Well-known properties of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ :

1)  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module: for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  the equation zX = t has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

2) The only proper subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  are the  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$   $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  has d.c.c., but not a.c.c., on submodules.

3) Each of the quotients  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{i+1}\mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}/p^{i}\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ 

Well-known properties of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ :

1)  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module: for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  the equation zX = t has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

2) The only proper subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  are the  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$   $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  has d.c.c., but not a.c.c., on submodules.

3) Each of the quotients Z/p<sup>i+1</sup>Z / Z/p<sup>i</sup>Z is isomorphic to Z/pZ
4) Z(p<sup>∞</sup>) / Z/p<sup>i</sup>Z ≅ Z(p<sup>∞</sup>) for all i ∈ N.
Well-known properties of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ :

1)  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is divisible as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module: for every  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  the equation zX = t has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  is injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

2) The only proper subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  are the  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}$   $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  has d.c.c., but not a.c.c., on submodules.

- 3) Each of the quotients Z/p<sup>i+1</sup>Z / Z/p<sup>i</sup>Z is isomorphic to Z/pZ
  4) Z(p<sup>∞</sup>) / Z/p<sup>i</sup>Z ≅ Z(p<sup>∞</sup>) for all i ∈ N.
- 5) The equation  $pX = 1 + p^i \mathbb{Z}$  has no solution in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^i \mathbb{Z}$ .

Gene Abrams

6)  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}))$  is the ring of *p*-adic integers; think of this as "formal power series in *p*", with coefficients in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ 

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日)

6)  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty}))$  is the ring of *p*-adic integers; think of this as "formal power series in *p*", with coefficients in  $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$  OR, think of it as an inverse limit of the rings / maps

 $\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^1\mathbb{Z}.$ 

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ 글 < 의 Q ()</li>
 (ioint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

We can do this in general.

**Proposition**: Suppose  $a \in R$  has these two properties:

(1) R/Ra is a simple left *R*-module, and

(2) for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the equation  $aX = 1 + Ra^i$  has no solution in  $R/Ra^i$ .

Then the direct limit  $U_{R,a}$  of the sequence

$$R/Ra \hookrightarrow R/Ra^2 \hookrightarrow R/Ra^3 \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

has structural properties analogous to those for  $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$  given above.

Gene Abrams

Now we apply these ideas to the specific case where

$$R = L_K(E), \ a = c - 1$$

where c is a cycle in the finite graph E.

 $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1) \hookrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^2 \hookrightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^3 \hookrightarrow \cdots$ 

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

Denote the direct limit of this sequence by  $U_{E,c-1}$ .

Gene Abrams

We already have property (1):

 $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)$  is a simple left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module, because it is isomorphic to  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$ .

For property (2):

**Proposition**: For any basic closed path c in E, the equation

$$(c-1)X = 1 + L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^n$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

has NO solution in  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^n$ .

Gene Abrams

We already have property (1):

 $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)$  is a simple left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module, because it is isomorphic to  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$ .

For property (2):

**Proposition**: For any basic closed path c in E, the equation

$$(c-1)X = 1 + L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^n$$

has NO solution in  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^n$ .

Idea of proof: Establish a "Division Algorithm by c - 1" inside  $L_{K}(E)$ . (Messy, but relatively straightforward.)

Gene Abrams

**Proposition**: Let *E* be a finite graph, let *c* be a basic closed path in *E* based at *v*, and let  $U_{E,c-1}$  be the Prüfer module associated to *c*. Suppose that there exists a cycle  $d \neq c$  which connects to *v*. Then  $U_{E,c-1}$  is not injective.

**Proof**: By work on  $\text{Ext}^1$  groups described previously (using the hypothesis that *d* connects to *v*),

 $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[c^{\infty}]}) \neq 0.$ 

Let  $\alpha_1$  denote  $1 + L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)$ . We get

 $0 \to V_{[c^{\infty}]} \cong L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)\alpha_1 \xrightarrow{\longleftarrow} U_{E,c-1} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} U_{E,c-1}/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)\alpha_1 \cong U_{E,c-1} \to 0$ 

But  $\operatorname{Hom}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0$ , because the only simple submodule of  $U_{E,c-1}$  is isomorphic to  $V_{[c^{\infty}]} \not\cong V_{[d^{\infty}]}$ .

Gene Abrams

### This gives the resulting long exact sequence

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{{}^{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},V_{[c^{\infty}]}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{{}^{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},U_{E,c-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{{}^{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}}(V_{[d^{\infty}]},U_{E,c-1}) (=0)$ 

Gene Abrams

<□> <□> <□> <□> < E> < E> < E> E のQの

### This gives the resulting long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[c^{\infty}]}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) (=0)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[c^{\infty}]}) \xrightarrow{(\neq 0)} \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) \xrightarrow{} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1})$$

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ 글 < 의 Q ()</li>
 (ioint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

### This gives the resulting long exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[c^{\infty}]}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) (=0)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, V_{[c^{\infty}]}) (\neq 0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{K}(E)}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1})$$

Consequently,  $\text{Ext}^1(V_{[d^{\infty}]}, U_{E,c-1}) \neq 0$ , so that  $U_{E,c-1}$  is not injective.

Gene Abrams

<□> <回> <回> <置> < 置> < 置> < 置 > こののの (foint work with E. Mantese and A. Tonolo)

On the other hand what happens when there is NO cycle d which connects to c?

Call such a cycle c maximal.

Gene Abrams

▲□▶ < 클▶ < 클▶ < 클 > 의익@
 ✓□▶ < 클▷ < 플▶ < 클 > 의익@

On the other hand what happens when there is NO cycle d which connects to c?

Call such a cycle c maximal.

Example: The Toeplitz graph

$$T = c \bigcirc \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

(The Leavitt path algebra  $L_{K}(T)$  is isomorphic to the Jacobson algebra  $K\langle X, Y | XY = 1 \rangle$ .)

Gene Abrams

**Main Theorem**: Let *E* be a finite graph and let *c* be a basic closed path in *E*. Let  $U_{E,c-1}$  be the Prüfer module associated to *c*. Then  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective if and only if *c* is a maximal cycle.

**Main Theorem**: Let *E* be a finite graph and let *c* be a basic closed path in *E*. Let  $U_{E,c-1}$  be the Prüfer module associated to *c*. Then  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective if and only if *c* is a maximal cycle.

Moreover, in case  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective, then:

(1)  $U_{E,c-1}$  is the injective envelope of the Chen simple module  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$ , and (2) E. L. (11, ...) is isomorphic to the simple K[[1]] of formula

(2) End<sub>*L*<sub>K</sub>(*E*)</sub>( $U_{E,c-1}$ ) is isomorphic to the ring K[[x]] of formal power series in *x*.

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

Gene Abrams

One direction? Done above.

Other direction?

Gene Abrams

One direction? Done above.

Other direction?

Two steps: Reduce to the case when c is a source loop. Then prove the result in this case.

Gene Abrams

### **Proposition**:

1) Source elimination is a Morita equivalence, and preserves Prüfer modules.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 うの()

2) Reduction of a source cycle to a source loop is a Morita equivalence, and preserves Prüfer modules.

Proof: Omitted. Not too difficult.

We analyze specific elements.

**Proposition**: Let *c* be a source loop. Let  $j \in \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\kappa}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c^{*n}j = 0$ .

**Proof**: It is not hard to show that any nonzero  $j \in Ann_{L_{K}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$  is a *K*-linear combination of elements of the form

$$\alpha\beta^* \mathbf{w}\gamma\delta^* \neq \mathbf{0},$$

where  $w \neq s(c)$ . Now consider cases.

1) If  $\alpha\beta^*w = w$  then  $c^*\alpha\beta^*w\gamma\delta^* = c^*w\gamma\delta^* = 0$ .

2) If  $\alpha\beta^*w = \beta^*w \neq w$  then  $s(\beta^*) = r(\beta) \neq s(c)$ , otherwise  $\beta$  would be a path which starts in w and ends at s(c), contrary to c being a source loop. Then  $c^*\alpha\beta^*w\gamma\delta^* = c^*\beta^*_-w\gamma\delta^*_- = 0$ .

#### Gene Abrams

3) In all the other cases  $\alpha = c^t \eta_1 \cdots \eta_s$  with  $c \neq \eta_1 \in E^1$ ,  $t \ge 0$  and  $s \ge 1$ . Then

$$(c^{t+1})^* \alpha \beta^* w \gamma \delta^* = (c^{t+1})^* c^t \eta_1 \cdots \eta_s \beta^* w \gamma \delta^* = c^* \eta_1 \cdots \eta_s \beta^* w \gamma \delta^* = 0.$$

Since j is a finite sum of terms of the form  $\alpha\beta^*w\gamma\delta^*$ , the result follows.

**Proposition**: For any  $\ell \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \setminus \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$  and for any  $u \in U_{E,c-1}$ , there exists  $X \in U_{E,c-1}$  such that  $\ell X = u$ . That is, u is divisible by any element in  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \setminus \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ .

-

イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

**Proposition**: For any  $\ell \in L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \setminus \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$  and for any  $u \in U_{E,c-1}$ , there exists  $X \in U_{E,c-1}$  such that  $\ell X = u$ . That is, u is divisible by any element in  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \setminus \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ .

### Idea of Proof: It can be shown that

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{L_{K}(E)}(U_{E,c-1}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} L_{K}(E)(c-1)^{n} = \langle E^{0} \setminus s(c) \rangle.$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Then using the "Division Algorithm" for c - 1 (and some computation) yields the result.

Gene Abrams

**Corollary**: If  $0 \neq u \in U_{E,c-1}$  then  $(c^*)^m u \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Gene Abrams

◆□> ◆■> ◆ヨ> ◆ヨ> ヨーのへの

**Corollary**: If  $0 \neq u \in U_{E,c-1}$  then  $(c^*)^m u \neq 0$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof**: Since  $c \notin L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1) \supseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ , by previous Proposition there exists  $0 \neq x \in U_{E,c-1}$  with

$$cx = u$$

We may assume that s(c)x = x. Then

$$0 \neq x = s(c)x = c^*cx = c^*u.$$

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日)

Repeating the same argument for  $0 \neq c^* u \in U_{E,c-1}$ , we get  $(c^*)^2 u \neq 0$ . Now continue.

Gene Abrams

**Key Proposition**: Let *c* be a source loop in *E*. Let  $I_f$  be a finitely generated left ideal of  $L_K(E)$ , and let  $\varphi : I_f \to U_{E,c-1}$  be a  $L_K(E)$ -homomorphism. Then there exists  $\psi : L_K(E) \to U_{E,c-1}$  such that  $\psi|_{I_f} = \varphi$ . Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$$

Gene Abrams

ioint work with F. Mantese and A. Tonolo

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日)

**Key Proposition**: Let *c* be a source loop in *E*. Let  $I_f$  be a finitely generated left ideal of  $L_K(E)$ , and let  $\varphi : I_f \to U_{E,c-1}$  be a  $L_K(E)$ -homomorphism. Then there exists  $\psi : L_K(E) \to U_{E,c-1}$  such that  $\psi|_{I_f} = \varphi$ . Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$$

**Proof:** By the result presented in this morning's lecture, we know that  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is a Bézout ring, i.e., that every finitely generated left ideal of  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is principal.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

Gene Abrams

**Key Proposition**: Let *c* be a source loop in *E*. Let  $I_f$  be a finitely generated left ideal of  $L_K(E)$ , and let  $\varphi : I_f \to U_{E,c-1}$  be a  $L_K(E)$ -homomorphism. Then there exists  $\psi : L_K(E) \to U_{E,c-1}$  such that  $\psi|_{I_f} = \varphi$ . Consequently,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$$

**Proof:** By the result presented in this morning's lecture, we know that  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is a Bézout ring, i.e., that every finitely generated left ideal of  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$  is principal.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

So 
$$I_f = L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)\ell$$
 for some  $\ell \in I_f$ .

Assume on one hand that  $\ell \in \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\kappa}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ , and hence  $I_f \leq \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\kappa}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ .

But we know these two things:

1) Any element of  $\operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$  is annihilated by some  $c^{*N}$ , and

2)  $c^{*n}u \neq 0$  for all  $0 \neq u \in U_{E,c-1}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Gene Abrams

Gourt work with L. Mantese and A.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

But then for  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{L_{K}(E)}(I_{f}, U_{E,c-1})$  we see that  $\varphi(\ell) = 0$ . Here's why:

Otherwise, if  $\varphi(\ell) \neq 0$ , then  $(c^*)^n \varphi(\ell) \neq 0$  for all *n*;

but  $\ell \in \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\kappa}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$  gives  $(c^*)^N \ell = 0$  for some N, so that  $0 = \varphi((c^*)^N \ell) = (c^*)^N \varphi(\ell)$ , a contradiction.

And  $\varphi(\ell) = 0$  gives  $\varphi = 0$ , because  $I_f$  is generated by  $\ell$ . Thus in this case we must have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{L_K(E)}(I_f, U_{E,c-1}) = 0$ , and the conclusion follows trivially.

Gene Abrams

Assume on the other hand that  $\ell \notin \operatorname{Ann}_{L_{\kappa}(E)}(U_{E,c-1})$ . But then there exists  $x \in U_{E,c-1}$  for which  $\ell x = \varphi(\ell)$ .

Let  $\psi : L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \to U_{E,c-1}$  be the map  $\rho_x$ . Then, for each  $i = r\ell \in I_f$ , we have

$$\psi(i) = \psi(r\ell) = r\ell\psi(1) = r\ell x = \varphi(\ell) = \varphi(r\ell) = \varphi(i),$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 ののの

and so  $\varphi$  extends in this case as well.

Gene Abrams

**Proposition**: Let *E* be a finite graph, and *c* a source loop in *E*. Then the endomorphism ring of the left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module  $U_{E,c-1}$  is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series  $\mathcal{K}[[x]]$ .

Proof omitted, but it's not too hard.

(ロ) (四) (注) (注) (注) (

We need one more tool.

We know the entire lattice of proper submodules of  $U_{E,c-1}$  as a left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module, it consists precisely of the  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^{i}$ .

3

イロト 不得 とくほと くほとう

We need one more tool.

We know the entire lattice of proper submodules of  $U_{E,c-1}$  as a left  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ -module, it consists precisely of the  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^{i}$ .

But  $U_{E,c-1}$  is a right module over its endomorphism ring S, which is isomorphic to K[[x]].

**Proposition**: Each  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)(c-1)^i$  is a right S-submodule of  $U_{E,c-1}$ , and these are ALL the right S-submodules of  $U_{E,c-1}$ . In particular,  $(U_{E,c-1})_S$  is artinian.

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト ヨー うらつ

Proof: Not hard.

Gene Abrams

Here's why we care about the right S-structure of  $U_{E,c-1}$ :

This property implies that the functor  $\text{Ext}^1(-, U_{E,c-1})$  sends direct limits to inverse limits.

(More details: If a module is linearly compact over its endomorphism ring, then it is algebraically compact and hence pure-injective. But for a pure-injective left *R*-module *M*, the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(-, M)$  sends direct limits to inverse limits.)

Finally, we get the result.

**Theorem**: Let *E* be a finite graph with source loop *c*. Then the Prüfer module  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective. Indeed,  $U_{E,c-1}$  is the injective envelope of  $V_{[c^{\infty}]}$ .

Gene Abrams
## Prüfer modules (Key Prop.) $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{K}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$

**Proof**: In order to check the injectivity of  $U_{E,c-1}$ , we apply Baer's Lemma; that is, we need only check that  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective relative to any short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I \rightarrow 0.$$

This is equivalent to showing that  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I, U_{E,c-1}) = 0$  for any left ideal I of  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ .

## Prüfer modules (Key Prop.) $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$

**Proof**: In order to check the injectivity of  $U_{E,c-1}$ , we apply Baer's Lemma; that is, we need only check that  $U_{E,c-1}$  is injective relative to any short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I \rightarrow 0.$$

This is equivalent to showing that  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I, U_{E,c-1}) = 0$  for any left ideal I of  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)$ .

Write  $I = \varinjlim I_{\lambda}$ , where the  $I_{\lambda}$  are the finitely generated submodules of I. It is standard that

$$L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I = \varinjlim L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{\lambda}.$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Prüfer modules (Key Prop.)  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{f}, U_{E,c-1}) = 0.$ 

So now applying the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(-, U_{E,c-1})$ , we get:

 $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I, U_{E,c-1})$ 

Gene Abrams

<□> <回> <回> <置> < E> < E> E のQの

So now applying the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}^{1}(-, U_{E,c-1})$ , we get:

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})/I, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E},c-1})$$
$$= \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})}(\varinjlim \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})/I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E},c-1})$$

Gene Abrams

Prüfer modules

So now applying the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(-, U_{E,c-1})$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})/I, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E},c-1}) \\ &= \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})}(\varinjlim \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})/I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E},c-1}) \\ &= \varprojlim \mathsf{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{E})/I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{E},c-1}) \end{aligned}$$
 (by Proposition above)

Gene Abrams

Prüfer modules

So now applying the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}^{1}(-, U_{E,c-1})$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I, \mathcal{U}_{E,c-1}) \\ &= \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(\varinjlim \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{U}_{E,c-1}) \\ &= \varprojlim \mathsf{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{\lambda}, \mathcal{U}_{E,c-1}) \qquad \text{(by Proposition above)} \\ &= \varprojlim \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}. \qquad \qquad \text{(by Key Proposition)} \end{aligned}$$

Gene Abrams

Prüfer modules

イロン 不同 とうじょう シーヨー うらつ

So now applying the functor  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(-, U_{E,c-1})$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I, U_{E,c-1}) \\ &= \mathsf{Ext}^{1}_{L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)}(\varinjlim L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{\lambda}, U_{E,c-1}) \\ &= \varprojlim \mathsf{Ext}^{1}(L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)/I_{\lambda}, U_{E,c-1}) \qquad \text{(by Proposition above)} \\ &= \varprojlim 0 = 0. \qquad \qquad \text{(by Key Proposition)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_{\mathcal{K}}(E)\alpha_1$  is an essential submodule of  $U_{E,c-1}$ , the last statement follows.

Gene Abrams

Prüfer modules